

Breaking Ground: Women of the Northern Coalfield

Angela Thomas

The history of Britain's coal industry has long been shaped through masculine imagery. Across industrial painting, documentary photography, labour history and museum interpretation, the miner underground became one of the defining figures of industrial Britain: physically resilient, politically organised and central to the economic life of mining communities. This image has dominated public understandings of coalfield heritage for generations. Yet women were equally fundamental to the survival and identity of mining communities across Britain. Their labour sustained households, communities and political movements, although their contribution has frequently remained marginal within official histories of mining.

Breaking Ground developed from a desire to reconsider these omissions. Through archival photography, historical material and artworks, the exhibition explored the experiences of women connected to Britain's coalfields and questioned how mining heritage has traditionally been represented. The exhibition sought not simply to recover overlooked stories, but to examine why certain forms of labour and experience have historically been considered more visible or historically significant than others.

Women's relationship with the coal industry varied considerably across Britain's coalfields. Prior to the Mines and Collieries Act of 1842, women and children worked underground alongside men in several mining regions (John, 1980). Parliamentary investigations into mining conditions during the early nineteenth century recorded women hauling coal through narrow underground passages, often undertaking physically punishing labour under dangerous conditions. Public reaction to these accounts contributed to the passing of the 1842 legislation, which prohibited women and girls from underground mining work.

The legislation did not remove women from the coal industry altogether. In parts of Lancashire and the North West, women continued to work above ground as pit

brow lasses, undertaking physically demanding labour at the surface of collieries. Their work involved sorting coal, clearing debris and moving loaded tubs at the pithead. The labour was physically exhausting and poorly paid, often carried out outdoors in difficult weather conditions for long hours (John, 1980).

Yet what drew public attention was not only the work itself, but the visibility of women undertaking labour associated with masculine industrial environments. The distinctive clothing worn by pit brow women became especially controversial within Victorian Britain. Contemporary photographs depicting women in clogs, headscarves, heavy jackets and layered skirts worn over trousers unsettled middle-class expectations surrounding femininity and respectable womanhood. Commentators frequently portrayed pit brow women as morally suspect or unfeminine because of their involvement within industrial labour and their proximity to male workers (Valiente, 1987).

At the same time, pit brow women defended both their labour and their economic independence. During the late nineteenth century, women in Lancashire publicly campaigned against attempts to prohibit female surface labour, including organised demonstrations and petitions defending their right to work (The Lancashire Pit Brow Lasses, 2017). These women occupied an unusual and highly visible position within industrial Britain. Their presence complicated simplistic assumptions about separate masculine and feminine spheres during the nineteenth century.

The visibility of pit brow women partly explains why they remain one of the most recognisable examples of female labour within coalfield history. However, their prominence can obscure the wider range of labour undertaken by women within mining communities across Britain. In many coalfield regions, including County Durham, women's contributions were less publicly visible but equally essential to community survival.

Women maintained domestic economies under often extremely difficult circumstances. Their labour included childcare, cooking, cleaning, caring for injured relatives and sustaining networks of mutual support within tightly connected communities. Histories of mining have traditionally privileged wage

labour and industrial production over what feminist historians describe as social reproduction: the unpaid labour required to sustain households and communities (Bourke, 1994). Within mining communities this distinction becomes especially significant. The labour of miners underground depended upon extensive domestic and emotional labour above ground, much of it undertaken by women.

Despite this, mining heritage has frequently marginalised women's experiences. Mining museums, labour histories and industrial imagery often positioned women primarily as miners' wives or passive observers rather than active participants within coalfield culture. This imbalance is also visible within mining art and documentary photography throughout the twentieth century, with few exceptions. Industrial painters and photographers frequently focused upon underground labour, pitmen or industrial landscapes dominated by masculine imagery. Women appeared comparatively infrequently, despite being central to the communities represented.

The historian Raphael Samuel argued that industrial communities maintained complex cultures of memory extending beyond formal historical institutions (Samuel, 1994). Within former coalfield communities, memory frequently survived through family storytelling, photographs, banners, oral traditions and local identities passed between generations. Women played a crucial role within this process, often acting as custodians of communal memory following the decline of the coal industry during the late twentieth century.

This became increasingly important following deindustrialisation. The collapse of Britain's coal industry profoundly altered mining communities economically, socially and culturally. Pit closures during the 1980s and 1990s transformed landscapes and patterns of employment that had shaped communities for generations. Yet former mining areas often retained strong emotional attachments to mining heritage even after collieries disappeared physically from the landscape.

Projects exploring memory and mining heritage in County Durham have highlighted the important role women played in preserving local histories following pit closures. Oral histories and community projects demonstrate how

women frequently maintained photographs, stories, banners and traditions that might otherwise have disappeared during periods of social and economic change. These forms of memory-making challenge assumptions that coalfield heritage belongs exclusively to male industrial workers. Instead, mining identity emerges as something collectively produced across entire communities.

Women also occupied important political roles within mining communities, although their activism has often received comparatively limited attention within mainstream labour histories. Across Britain's coalfields, women participated within co-operative movements, welfare organisations, chapel culture and political campaigning. Community survival frequently depended upon support structures organised by women, particularly during periods of industrial hardship.

These political roles became especially visible during the 1984–85 miners' strike. Women Against Pit Closures emerged initially through practical community organisation, including food distribution, fundraising and welfare support. However, the movement rapidly developed into a significant political force in its own right (Stead, 1987). Women organised demonstrations, addressed public meetings and established support networks connecting mining communities across Britain.

The strike altered public perceptions surrounding women's political activism within coalfield communities. Many women involved in support groups later described gaining confidence through campaigning and public speaking, while also questioning traditional gender expectations within mining culture (Spence, 2009). Historians have argued that women's activism during the strike challenged assumptions that political authority within mining communities belonged exclusively to men.

This remains important when considering how mining heritage continues to be represented publicly. Heritage institutions have often celebrated solidarity and collective identity within coalfield communities while simultaneously reproducing gendered assumptions about whose experiences matter historically. Portraits of trade union leaders, images of industrial labour and narratives of masculine sacrifice continue to dominate many museum displays connected to coal mining.

Exhibitions such as *Breaking Ground* therefore function not simply as historical surveys but as interventions within public history itself. By foregrounding women's labour, activism and visibility, the exhibition challenged assumptions surrounding what constitutes mining history. The inclusion of archival photography was particularly significant because photographs of pit brow women reveal how women's industrial labour disrupted Victorian social expectations. These images complicate assumptions surrounding separate masculine and feminine spheres within nineteenth-century industrial Britain.

At the same time, the exhibition sought to acknowledge the diversity of women's experiences within coalfield communities. Women were not a single homogeneous group. Their experiences differed according to geography, class, generation and political circumstance. Some women worked directly within industrial environments, others organised politically, while many sustained communities through unpaid labour and informal care structures. Together these experiences formed part of the social infrastructure of coalfield life.

Importantly, reassessing women's roles within mining heritage does not require romanticising coalfield history. Mining communities experienced poverty, industrial accidents, ill health and economic insecurity across generations. Gender inequalities within these communities were also significant. Women's labour was frequently undervalued both economically and culturally. However, recognising these realities alongside traditions of solidarity and collective identity allows for a more nuanced understanding of coalfield heritage than nostalgic or heroic narratives alone permit.

The recovery of women's histories within industrial heritage reflects wider developments within social history and museum practice during recent decades. Since the late twentieth century, historians have increasingly challenged historical narratives focused exclusively upon political leaders, industrial production and formal labour structures. Feminist historians and oral history practitioners have argued for broader understandings of labour, community and historical significance. Coalfield heritage provides a particularly revealing example of this shift because of the strength of masculine symbolism traditionally associated with mining.

Reconsidering women's roles within mining communities ultimately expands understanding of what coalfield heritage means. It reveals mining history not simply as a history of extraction and industrial labour, but as a history of families, care, activism, survival and collective identity. In doing so, it challenges narrow definitions of labour and opens new possibilities for how industrial heritage may be interpreted in the future.

Bibliography

Bourke, J. (1994) *Working-Class Cultures in Britain, 1890–1960: Gender, Class and Ethnicity*. London: Routledge.

John, A.V. (1980) *By the Sweat of Their Brow: Women Workers at Victorian Coal Mines*. London: Croom Helm.

Samuel, R. (1994) *Theatres of Memory: Past and Present in Contemporary Culture*. London: Verso.

Spence, J. (2009) "'Side by Side With Our Men?'" Women's Activism, Community and Gender in the 1984–1985 British Miners' Strike', *International Labor and Working-Class History*, 75, pp. 68–84.

Stead, J. (1987) *Never the Same Again: Women and the Miners' Strike*. London: The Women's Press.

The Lancashire Pit Brow Lasses (2017) Available at:

<http://www.nwlh.org.uk/The%20Lancashire%20Pit%20Brow%20Lasses> (Accessed: 14 October 2017).

Valiente, C. (1987) 'Pit Brow Women and the Representation of Women's Labour', *Oral History*, 15(2), pp. 16–22.